

**Numerical Analysis of
The NIMROD Formulation**

A. H. Glasser and C. R. Sovinec
Los Alamos National Laboratory
and the NIMROD Team

1997 International Sherwood
Fusion Theory Conference

Madison, Wisconsin, April 28, 1997

MEMBERS OF THE NIMROD TEAM

Name	Institution	Role
Curt Bolton	Office of Fusion Energy	Coordinator
Harsh Karandikar	SAIC, MacLean	Facilitator
Olivier Sauter	ITER & Lausanne	Customer
Ming Chu	General Atomics	Customer
Alan Turnbull	General Atomics	Customer
John Finn	Los Alamos Nat'l Lab	Customer
Dalton Schnack	SAIC, La Jolla	Core Computation & Coordinator
Alan Glasser	Los Alamos Nat'l Lab	Core Computation & Graphics
Dan Barnes	Los Alamos Nat'l Lab	Core Computation & Parallelization
Rick Nebel	Los Alamos Nat'l Lab	Core Computation & Validation
Carl Sovinec	Los Alamos Nat'l Lab	Core Computation & Parallelization
Steve Plimpton	Sandia Nat'l Lab, ABQ	Parallelization
Ray Tuminaro	Sandia Nat'l Lab, ABQ	Parallelization
Alice Koniges	Lawrence Livermore Nat'l Lab	Parallelization
Paul Covello	Lawrence Livermore Nat'l Lab	Parallelization & GUI
Shri Gopalakrishna	Lawrence Livermore Nat'l Lab	Parallelization & GUI
Alfonso Tarditi	SAIC, La Jolla	Parallelization
Mike Phillips	Northrup Grumman Corp.	GUI
Tom Gianakon	U. Wisc. & Cadarache	GUI & Neoclassical Transport
Nina Popova	Moscow State University	Graphics
Sergie Galkin	Keldysh Inst. Appl. Math.	Validation

KEY FEATURES OF NIMROD

- Designed to study mode-locking and disruptions; low- n , global, separatrix, resistive wall; nonlinear, time-dependent, realistic geometry and dynamics.
- Nation-wide collaboration, using **I**ntegrated **P**roduct **D**evelopment (IPD) and **Q**uality **F**unction **D**eployment (QFD).
- Graphic pre-processor, solver, and graphic post-processor all controlled by **G**raphical **U**ser **I**nterface (GUI).
- **O**bject-**O**riented **P**rogramming (OOP) using Fortran 90 for solver.
- Physics based on Quiet Implicit Pic (QIP) model: 2-Fluid + δf particles + Maxwell. Braginskii++
- Spatial discretization uses multiple grid blocks, both logically rectangular and unstructured triangular. Finite elements, flux coordinates, domain decomposition. Designed for parallelization.
- Implicit time step, preconditioned conjugate gradients. Direct solution within blocks, CG over blocks.
- **W**eb page: <http://www.nerdc.gov/research/Nimrod>
User Name: mhd, **P**assword: www4mhd.

NUMERICAL DANGERS

- **Large Truncation Error**
Anisotropy can amplify error, as in the problem of spectral pollution found in eigenvalue codes.
- **The Red-Black Problem**
Decoupling of adjacent grid nodes can introduce jitter.
- **Indefinite Matrices**
Causes Conjugate Gradient Method to be unreliable.
- **Many Variables Per Node**
Requires excessive run time and storage space.
- **Spurious Numerical Modes**
Introduces noise and uncertainty.
- $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0$

Two-Fluid Equations

$$\frac{\partial n_j}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_j \mathbf{v}_j) = 0$$

$$\rho_j \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_j}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_j \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_j \right) + \nabla P_j + \nabla \cdot \Pi_j = n_j q_j \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_j \times \mathbf{B} \right) + \mathbf{R}_j$$

$$\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\partial P_j}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_j \cdot \nabla P_j \right) + \frac{5}{2} P_j \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_j + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_j + \Pi_j : \nabla \mathbf{v}_j = Q_j$$

Maxwell's Equations

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \varphi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$

Constitutive Equations

$$\mathbf{J} = \sum_j \mathbf{J}_j = \sum_j n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j$$

\mathbf{q} and Π derived from particle moments

Finite Element Discretization

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = S$$

$$u(t, \mathbf{x}) = u_i(t) \alpha_i(\xi(\mathbf{x}), \eta(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \int d\mathbf{x} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} - S \right]^2, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial u / \partial t)} = 0$$

$$(f, g) \equiv \int f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int f(\xi, \eta) g(\xi, \eta) \mathcal{J}(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta$$

$$\mathcal{J} \equiv \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi}$$

$$-(\alpha_i, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) = \int d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla \alpha_i = \int d\xi d\eta \mathcal{J} \left(\mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla \xi \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial \xi} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla \eta \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial \eta} \right)$$

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) u_j = \int d\xi d\eta \mathcal{J} \left(S \alpha_i + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla \xi \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial \xi} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla \eta \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial \eta} \right)$$

Use Gaussian Quadrature Over Rblocks and Tblocks

EXACT CONSERVATION LAW

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$$

$$U(\Omega, t) \equiv \int_{\Omega} u(\mathbf{x}, t) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$\frac{dU(\Omega, t)}{dt} = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, d\mathbf{x}$$

FINITE ELEMENT CONSERVATION LAW

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = u_i(t)\alpha_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad (f, g) \equiv \int_V f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \frac{du_j}{dt} = -(\alpha_i, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) = \int_V \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla \alpha_i \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$U(\Omega, t) \equiv \int_{\Omega} u(\mathbf{x}, t)\varphi(\Omega, \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

Ω bounded by grid lines, $\varphi(\Omega, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_i \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 0$ on $\partial\Omega$

$$\frac{dU(\Omega, t)}{dt} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla \varphi(\Omega, \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

Equation of Motion

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}_j}{\partial t} + \Omega_j \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{J}_j = \frac{\omega_j^2}{4\pi} \mathbf{E}, \quad \Omega_j \equiv \frac{e_j B}{m_j c}, \quad \omega_j^2 \equiv \frac{4\pi n_j e_j^2}{m_j}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{J}_j^{n+1} - \mathbf{J}_j^n}{\Delta t} + \Omega_j \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times [\mathbf{J}_j^n + f_\Omega(\mathbf{J}_j^{n+1} - \mathbf{J}_j^n)] = \frac{\omega_j^2}{4\pi} \mathbf{E}$$

$$\left\{ (\lambda - 1) \mathbf{I} + [1 + f_\Omega(\lambda - 1)] (\Omega_j \Delta t) \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{I} \right\} \cdot \mathbf{J}_j = \frac{\omega_j^2 \Delta t}{4\pi} \mathbf{E}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_j = \frac{c^2 \Delta t}{4\pi} \mathbf{L}_j \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

The \mathbf{L} Matrix

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{c^2 \Delta t}{4\pi} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{L} \equiv \sum_j \mathbf{L}_j$$

$$\mathbf{L}_j = L_{j,\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + L_{j,\perp} (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}}) - L_{j,\times} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{I}$$

$$L_{j,\parallel} = \frac{(\omega_j/c)^2}{\lambda - 1}$$

$$L_{j,\perp} = \frac{(\omega_j/c)^2 (\lambda - 1)}{(\lambda - 1)^2 + [1 + f_\Omega(\lambda - 1)]^2 (\Omega_j \Delta t)^2}$$

$$L_{j,\times} = \frac{(\omega_j/c)^2 [1 + f_\Omega(\lambda - 1)] (\Omega_j \Delta t)}{(\lambda - 1)^2 + [1 + f_\Omega(\lambda - 1)]^2 (\Omega_j \Delta t)^2}$$

$$\text{For } (\Omega_j \Delta t)^2 \gg 1, \quad L_{\parallel} \approx \frac{\omega_p^2/c^2}{\lambda - 1}, \quad L_{\perp} \approx \frac{\lambda - 1}{[1 + f_\Omega(\lambda - 1)]^2 (c_A \Delta t)^2}$$

$$L_{\parallel} \gg L_{\perp} \gg L_{\times}, \quad \omega_p^2 \equiv \sum_j \omega_j^2, \quad \frac{c^2}{c_A^2} \equiv \sum_j \frac{\omega_j^2}{\Omega_j^2}$$

Curl-Curl Formulation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}$$

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} = \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} + (\lambda - 1) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 = [k^2 \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k} + (\lambda - 1) \mathbf{L}] \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} D = & (\lambda - 1) \left\{ (\lambda - 1)^2 L_{\parallel} (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) + (\lambda - 1) [k_{\perp}^2 (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) + (k^2 + k_{\parallel}^2) L_{\parallel} L_{\perp}] \right. \\ & \left. + k^2 (k_{\parallel}^2 L_{\parallel} + k_{\perp}^2 L_{\perp}) \right\} \\ \approx & (\lambda - 1) L_{\parallel} [(\lambda - 1) L_{\perp} + k^2] [(\lambda - 1) L_{\perp} + k_{\parallel}^2] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Factors into 3 waves:

Compressional Alfvén; Shear Alfvén;

Zero Frequency Electrostatic, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$

Basic Implicit Dispersion Relation

$$(\lambda - 1)L_{\perp} + k_{\parallel}^2 \approx \frac{(\lambda - 1)^2}{[1 + f(\lambda - 1)]^2 (c_A \Delta t)^2} + k_{\parallel}^2 = 0$$

$$x \equiv \lambda - 1 \approx i\omega \Delta t, \quad x_0 \equiv \omega_0 \Delta t, \quad \omega_0 \equiv c_A k_{\parallel}$$

$$x^2 + (1 + f x)^2 x_0^2 = 0$$

$$x = \frac{\pm i x_0 - f x_0^2}{1 + f^2 x_0^2}$$

For $f x_0 \ll 1$, $x = \pm i x_0 (1 + i f x_0) (1 - f^2 x_0^2 + \dots)$

For $f x_0 \gg 1$, $x \approx -1/f$

$$\lambda = 1 + x = \frac{1 + f(f - 1)x_0^2 \pm i x_0}{1 + f^2 x_0^2}$$

$$\Im \omega_0 = 0, \quad |\lambda|^2 \leq 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f \geq 1/2$$

Finite Element Discretization

$$\nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} + (\lambda - 1) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_i \mathbf{E}_i(t) \alpha_i(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\mathbf{E}_i = \mathbf{E}_0 \exp[i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i - \omega t)]$$

$$\kappa_i \equiv \frac{\sum_j \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)] \int \alpha_i \nabla \alpha_j d\mathbf{x}}{i \sum_j \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)] \int \alpha_i \alpha_j d\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\mathbf{K} \equiv - \frac{\sum_j \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)] \int \nabla \alpha_i \nabla \alpha_j d\mathbf{x}}{\sum_j \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)] \int \alpha_i \alpha_j d\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 = [(\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K} + (\lambda - 1) \mathbf{L}] \cdot \mathbf{E}_0 = 0$$

$$D \equiv \det \mathbf{D} = 0$$

Evaluation of κ and \mathbf{K}

Uniform Grid in x - y Plane, Fourier in z , $\kappa = \mathcal{I}_1/\mathcal{I}_0$, $\mathbf{K} = \mathcal{I}_2/\mathcal{I}_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_0 &\equiv \sum_j \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)] \int \alpha_i \alpha_j d\mathbf{x} \\ &= (4 + 2 \cos k_x h_x + 2 \cos k_y h_y + \cos k_x h_x \cos k_y h_y) h_x h_y / 9\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_1 &\equiv -i \sum_j \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)] \int \alpha_i \nabla \alpha_j d\mathbf{x} \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{x}}(6 + 3 \cos k_y h_y) \sin k_x h_x / h_x + \hat{\mathbf{y}}(6 + 3 \cos k_x h_x) \sin k_y h_y / h_y \\ &\quad + \hat{\mathbf{z}}(4 + 2 \cos k_x h_x + 2 \cos k_y h_y + \cos k_x h_x \cos k_y h_y) k_z] h_x h_y / 9\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_2 &\equiv - \sum_j \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)] \int \nabla \alpha_i \nabla \alpha_j d\mathbf{x} \\ &= [\hat{\mathbf{x}}\hat{\mathbf{x}}(12 + 6 \cos k_y h_y)(1 - \cos k_x h_x) / h_x^2 + \hat{\mathbf{y}}\hat{\mathbf{y}}(12 + 6 \cos k_x h_x)(1 - \cos k_y h_y) / h_y^2 \\ &\quad + \hat{\mathbf{z}}\hat{\mathbf{z}}k_z^2(4 + 2 \cos k_x h_x + 2 \cos k_y h_y + \cos k_x h_x \cos k_y h_y) \\ &\quad + (\hat{\mathbf{x}}\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \hat{\mathbf{z}}\hat{\mathbf{x}})(6 + 3 \cos k_y h_y)(\sin k_x h_x / h_x) k_z + (\hat{\mathbf{y}}\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \hat{\mathbf{z}}\hat{\mathbf{y}})(6 + 3 \cos k_x h_x)(\sin k_y h_y / h_y) k_z \\ &\quad + 9(\hat{\mathbf{x}}\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}\hat{\mathbf{x}})(\sin k_x h_x / h_x)(\sin k_y h_y / h_y)] h_x h_y / 9\end{aligned}$$

For $k_x h_x, k_y h_y \ll 1$, $\kappa = \mathbf{k} - \frac{1}{180} [(k_x h_x)^4 k_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + (k_y h_y)^4 k_y \hat{\mathbf{y}}] + \dots$,

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{k} + \frac{1}{12} [\hat{\mathbf{x}}\hat{\mathbf{x}}k_x^2(k_x h_x)^2 + \hat{\mathbf{y}}\hat{\mathbf{y}}k_y^2(k_y h_y)^2] + \dots$$

Discretized Dispersion Relation, Curl-Curl Formulation

$$\begin{aligned}
D &\equiv \det \mathbf{D} \\
&= (\lambda - 1)^3 L_{\parallel} (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) \\
&\quad + (\lambda - 1)^2 \left\{ L_{\parallel} L_{\perp} (\mathbf{1} + \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) : \mathbf{K} + (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) (\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) : \mathbf{K} \right\} \\
&\quad + (\lambda - 1) \left\{ L_{\parallel} \left[(\mathbf{K} : \mathbf{1}) (\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} : \mathbf{K}) + |\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + (\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})| \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + L_{\perp} \left[\mathbf{K} : (\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) (\mathbf{1} + \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) : \mathbf{K} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + |(\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})| \right] \right\} \\
&\quad - |\mathbf{K} - (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{1}| \\
&\approx (\lambda - 1) L_{\parallel} \left\{ \left[(\lambda - 1) L_{\perp} + \text{tr } \mathbf{K} \right] \left[(\lambda - 1) L_{\perp} + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \det \left[\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + (\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \right] \right\} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

The last line is a truncation error which vanishes in the continuous limit $\mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}$. It couples the fast and slow waves and prevents accurate representation of low-frequency shear Alfvén modes with $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rightarrow 0$. Related to spectral pollution in spectral codes.

Coulomb Gauge Formulation

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla\varphi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0, \quad \mathbf{J} = \frac{c^2 \Delta t}{4\pi} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L} \cdot [(\lambda - 1)\mathbf{A} + (c\Delta t)\nabla\varphi] - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} &= 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \{\mathbf{L} \cdot [(\lambda - 1)\mathbf{A} + (c\Delta t)\nabla\varphi]\} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\mathbf{A}, \varphi) = (\mathbf{A}_0, \varphi_0) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot [(\lambda - 1)\mathbf{A} + (c\Delta t)i\mathbf{k}\varphi] + k^2 \mathbf{A} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot [(\lambda - 1)\mathbf{A} + (c\Delta t)i\mathbf{k}\varphi] = 0$$

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv (\mathbf{A}_0, ic\Delta t \varphi_0), \quad \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} D \equiv \det \mathbf{D} = 0 &= k^4 \left\{ L_{\parallel} (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) (\lambda - 1)^2 \right. \\ &+ \left. \left[k_{\perp}^2 (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) + (k^2 + k_{\parallel}^2) L_{\parallel} L_{\perp} \right] (\lambda - 1) + k^2 \left(k_{\parallel}^2 L_{\parallel} + k_{\perp}^2 L_{\perp} \right) \right\} \\ &\approx k^4 L_{\parallel} \left[L_{\perp} (\lambda - 1) + k^2 \right] \left[L_{\perp} (\lambda - 1) + k_{\parallel}^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

First Discretized Coulomb Gauge Formulation

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{A}, \varphi)(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \sum_i (\mathbf{A}_i, \varphi_i)(t) \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\mathbf{A}_i, \varphi_i) = (\mathbf{A}_0, \varphi_0) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i} \\
[(\lambda - 1)\mathbf{L} + (\text{tr } \mathbf{K})\mathbf{I}] \cdot \mathbf{A}_0 + \kappa(ic\Delta t)\varphi_0 &= 0, \\
(\lambda - 1)\kappa \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{A}_0 + (\mathbf{L} : \mathbf{K})(ic\Delta t)\varphi_0 &= 0 \\
\mathbf{u} &\equiv [\mathbf{A}_0, (ic\Delta t)\varphi_0], \quad \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D \equiv \det \mathbf{D} &= (\lambda - 1)^3 L_{\parallel}^2 (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) \mathbf{L} : (\mathbf{K} - \kappa\kappa) \\
&+ (\lambda - 1)^2 (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \left\{ (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) L_{\parallel} (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) + 2\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} : (\mathbf{K} - \kappa\kappa) L_{\parallel}^2 L_{\perp} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) : (\mathbf{K} - \kappa\kappa) [L_{\perp}^2 (L_{\perp} + L_{\parallel}) + L_{\times}^2 (L_{\perp} - L_{\parallel})] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - [\text{tr } (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{K})] L_{\times} (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2 + 2L_{\perp} L_{\parallel}) \right\} \\
&+ (\lambda - 1) (\text{tr } \mathbf{K})^2 \left\{ (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) : \mathbf{K} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + L_{\parallel} [2L_{\perp} \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} : \mathbf{K} + (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) : (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{K})] \right\} + (\text{tr } \mathbf{K})^3 \mathbf{L} : \mathbf{K} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Truncation errors are amplified by higher powers of L_{\parallel} , producing large errors.

Cause: Ampère's Law and Quasineutrality contain inconsistent expressions for E_{\parallel} .

Second Discretized Coulomb Gauge Formulation

$$(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, \mathbf{J})(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_i (\mathbf{A}_i, \varphi_i, \mathbf{J}_i)(t) \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\mathbf{A}_i, \varphi_i, \mathbf{J}_i) = (\mathbf{A}_0, \varphi_0, \mathbf{J}_0) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i}$$

$$\frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}_0 = -\mathbf{L} \cdot [(\lambda - 1) \mathbf{A}_0 + \kappa(ic\Delta t)\varphi_0], \quad \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}_0 - (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{A}_0 = 0, \quad \kappa \cdot \mathbf{J}_0 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L} \cdot [(\lambda - 1) \mathbf{A}_0 + \kappa(ic\Delta t)\varphi_0] + (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{A}_0 &= 0, \\ \kappa \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot [(\lambda - 1) \mathbf{A}_0 + \kappa(ic\Delta t)\varphi_0] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv [\mathbf{A}_0, (ic\Delta t)\varphi_0], \quad \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} D \equiv \det \mathbf{D} &= (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \left\{ (\lambda - 1)^2 \kappa^2 L_{\parallel} (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) + (\lambda - 1) (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left[\kappa_{\perp}^2 (L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2) + (\kappa^2 + \kappa_{\parallel}^2) L_{\parallel} L_{\perp} \right] + (\text{tr } \mathbf{K})^2 \left(\kappa_{\parallel}^2 L_{\parallel} + \kappa_{\perp}^2 L_{\perp} \right) \right\} \\ &\approx (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \kappa^2 L_{\parallel} \left[L_{\perp} (\lambda - 1) + (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \right] \left[L_{\perp} (\lambda - 1) + (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) \kappa_{\parallel}^2 / \kappa^2 \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Discretization of \mathbf{J} eliminates inconsistency and amplification of truncation error.

But $\kappa = 0$ for $k_x h_x = k_y h_y = \pi$, Red-Black Problem.

Third Discretized Coulomb Gauge Formulation

$$(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, J_{\parallel})(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_i (\mathbf{A}, \varphi, J)_i(t) \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\mathbf{A}, \varphi, J)_i(t) = (\mathbf{A}, \varphi, J)_0(t) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i}$$

$$\frac{4\pi}{c} J_0 = -L_{\parallel} [(\lambda - 1)A_{\parallel,0} + \kappa_{\parallel}(ic\Delta t)\varphi_0]$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{tr } \mathbf{K})\mathbf{A}_0 + \mathbf{L} \cdot (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot [(\lambda - 1)\mathbf{A}_0 + \kappa(ic\Delta t)\varphi_0] &= 0 \\ + \hat{\mathbf{b}}L_{\parallel} [(\lambda - 1)A_{\parallel,0} + \kappa_{\parallel}(ic\Delta t)\varphi_0] &= 0, \\ \kappa \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot (\lambda - 1)\mathbf{A}_0 + \text{tr } [\mathbf{K} \cdot (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{L}](ic\Delta t)\varphi_0 \\ + \kappa_{\parallel}L_{\parallel} [(\lambda - 1)A_{\parallel,0} + \kappa_{\parallel}(ic\Delta t)\varphi_0] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv [\mathbf{A}_0, (ic\Delta t)\varphi_0], \quad \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} D \equiv \det \mathbf{D} &\approx L_{\parallel} \left\{ (\lambda - 1)^3 L_{\perp}^3 (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}_{\perp} - \kappa_{\perp}^2) \right. \\ &+ (\lambda - 1)^2 L_{\perp}^2 (\text{tr } \mathbf{K}) [\kappa^2 + 2(\text{tr } \mathbf{K}_{\perp} - \kappa_{\perp}^2)] \\ &\left. + (\lambda - 1)L_{\perp} (\text{tr } \mathbf{K})^2 (2\kappa_{\parallel}^2 + \text{tr } \mathbf{K}_{\perp}) + (\text{tr } \mathbf{K})^3 \kappa_{\parallel}^2 \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

No amplification of error, no Red-Black Problem.

But spurious high-frequency mode, 5 variables per node, and non-SPD matrix.

Magnetic Formulation

$$\frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = (c\Delta t) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \quad (c\Delta t) \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{L}^{-1} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$$

$$\mathbf{M} \equiv \mathbf{L}^{-1} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{L_{\parallel}} + \frac{L_{\perp}(\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) + L_{\times} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{I}}{L_{\perp}^2 + L_{\times}^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + c \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad (\lambda - 1) \mathbf{B} + (c\Delta t) \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$$

$$(\lambda - 1) \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times (\mathbf{M} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = 0$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0 = 0, \quad \mathbf{D} = (\lambda - 1) \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{I})$$

$$\begin{aligned} D \equiv \det \mathbf{D} &= (\lambda - 1) \left\{ (\lambda - 1)^2 + (\lambda - 1) \left[M_{\perp} (k^2 + k_{\parallel}^2) + M_{\parallel} k_{\perp}^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k^2 \left[(M_{\perp}^2 + M_{\times}^2) k_{\parallel}^2 + M_{\perp} M_{\parallel} k_{\perp}^2 \right] \right\} \\ &\approx (\lambda - 1) \left[(\lambda - 1) + M_{\perp} k_{\parallel}^2 \right] \left[(\lambda - 1) + M_{\perp} k^2 \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Magnetic Formulation, Discretized Dispersion Relation

$$D \approx \left[(\lambda - 1) + M_{\perp}(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} : \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \right] \left\{ (\lambda - 1) \left[(\lambda - 1) + M_{\perp}(\text{tr } \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \right] \right. \\ \left. + M_{\perp}^2 \left[(\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} : \boldsymbol{\kappa})\boldsymbol{\kappa} : (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) - \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right] \right\}$$

- The use of $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{L}^{-1}$ rather than \mathbf{L} in the magnetic formulation implies that truncation errors associated with the parallel direction are suppressed rather than amplified.
- The slow, shear Alfvén wave factors out and is therefore unaffected by truncation error.
- The fast and zero-frequency waves are coupled. The effect on the fast wave is negligible. The effect on the zero-frequency wave is negligible if large gradients are aligned with the grid.
- $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ does not vanish exactly, but is acceptably small if large gradients are aligned with the grid.

Divergence of \mathbf{B}

$$\mathbf{B} = \sum_i \mathbf{B}_i \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{B}_0 e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \mathbf{B}_0 / B_0$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \equiv \frac{\mathbf{k}}{k}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \equiv \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{k}}{|\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{k}|}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \equiv \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j = \delta_{i,j}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \cos \phi + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \sin \phi, \quad \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = 0$$

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{\int d\mathbf{x} |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}|^2}{\int d\mathbf{x} |\mathbf{B}|^2} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \approx & \left[k_x^2 k_y^2 (k_x^2 h_x^2 + k_y^2 h_y^2) \cos^2 \phi + 2k_x k_y \frac{k_z}{k} (k_x^4 h_x^2 - k_y^4 h_y^2) \sin \phi \cos \phi \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{k_z^2}{k^2} (k_x^6 h_x^2 + k_y^6 h_y^2) \sin^2 \phi \right] / 12(k_x^2 + k_y^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$k_x \gg k_y, k_z \quad \Rightarrow \quad \epsilon \sim k_y^2 (k_x^2 h_x^2 + k_y^2 h_y^2)$$

Conclusions

- The NIMROD finite element formulation rests is on a solid analytical footing, confirmed by numerical experience.
- The flux coordinate grid plays a major role in avoiding numerical difficulties.
- The triangular core block avoids problems associated with a coordinate system pole at the o-point. A similar solution can be used for x-point.
- The magnetic formulation avoids all known numerical problems.